

The Honorable Kymberly K. Evanson

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

SHENZHEN ROOT TECHNOLOGY CO.,  
LTD., HONG KONG LUTE  
TECHNOLOGY CO., LIMITED, AND  
SHENZHEN CONGLIN E-COMMERCE  
CO., LTD.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHIARO TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Defendant.

CHIARO TECHNOLOGY LTD.,

Counterclaim Plaintiff,

v.

SHENZHEN ROOT TECHNOLOGY CO.,  
LTD., HONG KONG LUTE  
TECHNOLOGY CO., LIMITED,  
SHENZHEN CONGLIN E-COMMERCE  
CO., LTD., SHENZHEN ROOT E-  
COMMERCE CO., LTD., SHENZHEN  
TPH TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,  
SHENZHEN LUTEJIACHENG  
NETWORK TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD,  
AND SHENZHEN JINRUIHANG  
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.,

Counterclaim Defendants.

Case No. 2:23-cv-00631-KKE

**JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION  
AND PREHEARING STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Local Patent Rule 132 and the Court’s Order (Dkt. 124), Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants Shenzhen Root Technology Co., Ltd., Hong Kong Lute Technology Co., Limited, Shenzhen Conglin e-Commerce Co., Ltd., Shenzhen Root E-Commerce Co., Ltd., Shenzhen Lutejiacheng Network Technology Co., Ltd., and Shenzhen Jinruihang Technology Co., Ltd. (collectively, “Momcozy”) and Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff Chiaro Technology Ltd. (“Elvie”) jointly submit this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement.

**1. Agreed Claim Terms**

The parties met and conferred on December 9, 2024, but have not reached an agreement on construction for any claim terms.

**2. Disputed Claim Terms**

Attached as Exhibit A1 and A2 are the Claim Charts setting forth the parties’ proposed constructions for the disputed terms, along with the parties’ citations to intrinsic and extrinsic evidence. With respect to intrinsic support, the parties have identified those citations and excerpts that they believe to be most relevant to the proposed constructions. However, each party reserves the right to rely upon the intrinsic and extrinsic evidence identified by the other party to support or rebut any proposed constructions, and the parties may rely upon additional portions of the intrinsic evidence on rebuttal.

**a. Ten Most Important Claim Terms for Construction**

Claim Term	Patent(s), claim(s)	Momcozy’s Proposed Construction	Elvie’s Proposed Construction
“in-bra wearable device”	’893 Patent, claim 1 ’380 Patent, claims 1, 26, 29, 44 ’381 Patent, claim 1	“device that is entirely contained within bra when worn”	Plain and ordinary meaning

Claim Term	Patent(s), claim(s)	Momcozy's Proposed Construction	Elvie's Proposed Construction
"a pump / the pump / an air pump / the air pump"	'893 Patent, claims 1-3 '380 Patent, claims 1, 5, 6, 9-11, 26, 29, 44 '381 Patent, claims 1, 11, 26, 29	"Piezo air pump"	Plain and ordinary meaning
"external surface of the housing"	'893 Patent, claim 1 '380 Patent, claim 29	"surface of the pump housing that is exposed to its outside environment"	Plain and ordinary meaning
"attached / attach / attachable / attaches"	'893 Patent, claims 1, 17, 20 '380 Patent, claims 1, 9, 11, 15, 21, 29, 37 '381 Patent, claims 1, 14, 17	"directly connected to / directly connect to"	Plain and ordinary meaning
"milk container"	'893 Patent, claims 1, 13, 16-21, 23, 26 '380 Patent, claims 1, 6, 8-12, 26-29, 33, 35-38, 43-46 '381 Patent, claims 1, 9, 13-18, 20, 21, 24	"container collecting milk that is separate from the breast shield"	Plain and ordinary meaning
"close to a base of the housing"	'893 Patent, claim 3	Indefinite	Plain and ordinary meaning
"substantially rigid"	'893 Patent, claims 6, 16 '380 Patent, claims 8, 35 '381 Patent, claim 13	Indefinite	Plain and ordinary meaning
"configured to rotate smoothly around a nipple inserted into the nipple tunnel to provide a correct positioning of the"	'893 Patent, claim 7 '380 Patent, claims 2, 30 '381 Patent, claim 2	Indefinite	Plain and ordinary meaning

Claim Term	Patent(s), claim(s)	Momcozy's Proposed Construction	Elvie's Proposed Construction
breast shield onto a breast"			
"the housing is configured to slide onto the breast shield, when the breast shield has been placed onto a breast, using guide members"	'893 Patent, claim 12 '381 Patent, claim 8	"when the breast shield has been placed onto a breast, the housing is able to slide onto the breast shield using guide members"	Plain and ordinary meaning
"fixed to"	'380 Patent, claim 1	"unremovably connected to during normal operation, use, and maintenance"	Plain and ordinary meaning

**b. Other Disputed Claim Terms**

The parties have not identified additional terms for construction.

**3. Proposed Order of Presentation at Hearing**

The parties believe that it will be most effective to start the *Markman* hearing with the oral arguments for the disputed claim terms on a term-by-term basis based on the order of the terms listed in Section 2 above, with Momcozy presenting first for each disputed term. Each side should be allotted the same amount of total time, including live testimony (if any) and oral argument.

**4. Live Testimony**

The parties believe that the most efficient way to present expert testimony in support of the parties' claim construction positions is through expert declarations and citations to the experts' deposition testimony. Thus, the parties consider it unnecessary and inefficient to call the parties' experts live at the *Markman* hearing.

**5. Tutorial**

Momcozy believes that a tutorial on the subject matter is not necessary given the straightforwardness of the challenged technology. Should the Court request a technology tutorial, Momcozy will be happy to provide one of similar length as Elvie's proposed tutorial.

Elvie believes that a tutorial on the subject matter of the technology would be informative and requests twenty (20) minutes to present the technology tutorial to the Court.

**6. Independent Expert**

The parties do not believe it is necessary for the Court to appoint its own independent expert.

**7. Prehearing Conference**

The parties do not believe it is necessary to have a prehearing conference, pursuant to Patent L.R. 132(g), prior to the *Markman* hearing.

**8. Copies of Patents and Prosecution Histories**

Copies of the patents-in-suit and their prosecution histories are attached to this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement as Exhibits B-G:

Patents-in-Suit	Copy of the Patent	Prosecution History
11,357,893	Ex. B	Ex. E
11,413,380	Ex. C	Ex. F
11,813,381	Ex. D	Ex. G

To the extent that the file histories for any parents and related patents and patent applications have been included in the disclosure of intrinsic evidence, those file histories are attached to this Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement as Exhibits E-G:

Related Patent/Application	Prosecution History
11,357,893	Ex. E
11,413,380	Ex. F

Related Patent/Application	Prosecution History
11,813,381	Ex. G
Appl. No. 17/181,057 (parent to '380 Patent)	Ex. H
Appl. No. 16/009,547 (grandparent to '380 Patent)	Ex. I

Dated: December 11, 2024

ARÊTE LAW GROUP PLLC

By: /s/ Matthew A. Colvin

Jeremy E. Roller (WSBA 32021)  
jroller@aretelaw.com  
ARÊTE LAW GROUP PLLC  
600 University Street, Suite 2420  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Tel: (206) 428-3250 | Fax: (206) 428-3251

Carl E. Bruce (*pro hac vice*)  
bruce@fr.com  
Matthew A. Colvin (*pro hac vice*)  
colvin@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
1717 Main Street, Suite 5000  
Dallas, TX 75201  
Tel: (214) 747-5070 | Fax: (214) 747-2091

Kelly Del Dotto (*pro hac vice*)  
allenspach.del.dotto@fr.com  
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.  
222 Delaware Avenue, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: (302) 652-5070 | (302) 652-0607

Attorney for Plaintiffs and Counterclaim-  
Defendants, SHENZHEN ROOT  
TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD., HONG KONG  
LUTE TECHNOLOGY CO., LIMITED,  
SHENZHEN CONGLIN E-COMMERCE CO.,  
LTD., SHENZHEN ROOT E-COMMERCE  
CO., LTD., SHENZHEN LUTEJIACHENG  
NETWORK TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD, AND  
SHENZHEN JINRUIHANG TECHNOLOGY  
CO., LTD.

1 Dated: December 11, 2024

LOWE GRAHAM JONES PLLC

2 By: /s/ Nirav N. Desai

3 Mark P. Walters (WSBA 30819)  
4 walters@lowegrahamjones.com  
5 LOWE GRAHAM JONES PLLC  
6 1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1130  
7 Seattle, WA 98101  
8 Tel: (206) 381-3300 | Fax: (206) 381-3301

9 Nirav N. Desai (*pro hac vice*)  
10 Josephine Kim (*pro hac vice*)  
11 Alexander Covington (*pro hac vice*)  
12 Alex Alfano (*pro hac vice*)  
13 Joseph Kim (*pro hac vice*)  
14 Paige Cloud (*pro hac vice*)  
15 Michael Webb (*pro hac vice*)  
16 Zachary L. Jacobs (*pro hac vice*)  
17 Christopher Coleman (*pro hac vice*)  
18 STERNE, KESSLER,  
19 GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC  
20 1101 K Street NW, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor  
21 Washington, DC 20005  
22 Tel: (202) 371-2600 | Fax: (202) 371-2540  
23 Attorney for Defendant and Counterclaim-  
24 Plaintiff, CHIARO TECHNOLOGY LTD.  
25  
26  
27

### CERTIFICATION OF ELECTRONIC SIGNATURE

Pursuant to L.R. 11(a)(6)(B), I, Matthew A. Colvin, attest that all other signatories listed, and on whose behalf the filing is submitted, concur in the filing's content and have authorized the filing.

/s/ Matthew A. Colvin  
Matthew A. Colvin